Analysis of soil water biophysicochemical content from temporary waste disposal places

Endang Surahman [a, 1], Mufti Ali [b, 2], Vita Meylani [b, 3] *

[a] Department of Physic Education, Faculty of Education and Teacher Training, Universitas Siliwangi, Tasikmalaya, Indonesia

[b] Department of Biology Education, Faculty of Education and Teacher Training, Universitas Siliwangi, Tasikmalaya, Indonesia

[1] e.surahman@unsil.ac.id; [2] muftiali@unsil.ac.id; [3] vibriovita@unsil.ac.id*

* Corresponding author

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article history Submission August 14, 2021 Revision December 16, 2021 Accepted December 18, 2021 **Keyword** Pollution Groundwater Alkali	Population growth is in line with the increasing volume of waste generated, so that it has an impact on the increase in the location of landfills in temporary disposal sites (TPS) and landfills (TPA). Piles of runny rubbish often cause the degradation of groundwater quality. This study aims to determine the biological, physical, and chemical properties of groundwater originating from one of the TPS with different depths and distances. The research method used is an experiment which is further described qualitatively. This research was conducted at the Laboratory of Botany, Department of Biology, Universitas Siliwangi for four months. The material used in this study is ground water taken from one of the polling stations in the City of Tasikmalaya with different distances and depths. The instrument used was the observation guide analysed at PT Sucofindo. Based on the results of the study it can be concluded that all parameters observed are biological content, physical content and chemical content in groundwater as a whole polluted by alkali.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Introduction

Increased population growth resulted in an increase in waste production. Increasing the volume of waste, if not managed properly, will cause environmental damage. Because more waste is generated, that will have an impact on environmental pollution[1]. This can be seen in the absorption of water pollution from the rain into groundwater flow. Water pollution consists of contamination of microorganisms in the water, water pollution of inorganic nutrients, pollution of inorganic chemicals, and pollution of organic chemicals[2,3], because of the large number of landfills in open land close to residential areas.

Waste into solid waste consisting of organic and non-organic substances which are considered no longer useful and must be managed so as not to endanger the environment[4-6]. Several strategic aspects in waste management such as waste storage, collection, transfer, transportation, processing, and final disposal[7,8]. All of these strategies are of course to maintain environmental quality so that water remains clean. Because in general, clean water supply from ground water. Clean water must meet several requirements both quantitatively and

qualitatively. Thus, the development and checking of samples is needed to determine the source of contaminated water[9-11]. Because ground water is very important to humans and that almost 97% of clean water comes from ground water, 3% from rivers and lakes[12].

Usually the quality of ground water and springs is better than shallow ground water. Because shallow ground water is more contaminated from the outside and functions less filters. Water that comes from the surface when it seeps into the soil, the water will immediately interact physically, chemically, and biologically, thus changing the quality of the water. Unpolluted ground water has clean water quality, is colorless and is free of impurities. That is because when the precipitation process occurs, the impurities that are carried by the water are filtered by granules of particles in the soil layer[13].

Several studies showed the important of a clean groundwater quality for human and animal consumption with further treatment near the landfill area[14,15]. In Akinbile's study on the environmental impact of the landfills on groundwater quality and agricultural area in Nigeria indicated that the landfill site indeed polluted the groundwater and soil in the crop[16]. It showed that the groundwater polluted were the source of the health problems like typhoid fever or worm infestation.

The example of water pollution can be seen from the formation of alkali. Alkali is water contaminated by landfill waste. Alkali contains dissolved waste, is not retained by the soil, and is not degraded chemically or biochemically[17]. The clay has a significant influence on the natural attenuation of leachate on ground water resources[18,19]. The scale of this threat depends on the composition, the amount of alkali and the distance of landfill from the water source[20]. Moreover, there are puddies and deposits that can reduce the ability to filter[21,22].

Most alkali contains many dissolved organic materials and inorganic compounds such as ammonium, calcium, magnecium, sodium, potassium, iron, sulphate, chloride, and heavy metals such as cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, zinc, and xenobiotic organic substances[23,24]. The source of water that triggers alkali comes from rain water seepage into a pile of garbage or high ground water in addition to the liquid contained in the garbage. The difference in the number of landfills consists of natural factors and human factors[25]. When water enters the landfill, chemical and biological reactions will occur with the waste. Therefore, relevancy for research to determine the extent of a groundwater quality groundwater. So it can be uncertained whether it has been contaminated with waste or not. So it does not endanger the health of humans around it.

Method

The data collection method used was an experiment followed by a qualitative descriptive approach. The sample of the research was collected by taking the groundwater from the resident house or store around the landfills in Cikurubuk traditional market in Tasikmalaya, West Java, Indonesia, this was determined by the distances of 7, 15, 25 m, respectively and depth of 5, 8, 10 m away from the landfill. The basis determination in taking the sample because the groundwater in that area is near the landfills of Cikurubuk which contaminated the groundwater quality. In this qualitative research process, analysed specific data to the variable observed in this study was analysis of groundwater quality based on different points of distance and depth from the focal point of landfills in the Cikurubuk market and groundwater contaminated with alkali originating from PT Sucofindo, Bandung.

Results and Discussion

a. For difference sample distance

Table 1. Analysis result for disticinc sampling samples

Sample Distance	Parameter Type		
	physiscall	chemicall	biologicall
7 m	13 mg/L	16.33 mg/L	6.50 mg/L
15 m	5 mg/L	6.53 mg/L	2.80 mg/L
25 m	5 mg/L	6.53 mg/L	2.50 mg/L

1) Biological Content (BOD)

In Table 1 shows that the biological content or BOD decreases in line with the increasing distance of sampling from temporary landfills. This shows that the biological contained in well water around landfills is quite high and decrases with increasing distance of the well from the landfill.

2) Physics content or Total Suspended Solid (TSS)

As shown in Table 1, the physical content decreases with increasing sampling distance from temporary landfills, but for 15 and 25 m the physical content is the same. This shows that the physical content contained in the well water around the landfill is quite high and decreases with increasing distance of the well from the landfill.

3) Chemical Content (COD)

As shown in Table 1, the chemical content decreases with increasing sampling distance from temporary landfills, but for a distance of 15 m and 25 m the chemical content is the same. This shows that the chemical content contained in well water around landfills is quite high and decreases with increasing distance of the well from the landfill.

b. For difference depth

Table 2. Analysis result for different depths of sampling

Depth	Parameter Type		
	physiscal	chemical	biological
5 m	17 mg/L	17.00 mg/L	6.80 mg/L
8 m	14 mg/L	13.07 mg/L	5.50 mg/L
10 m	10 mg/L	9.80 mg/L	4.0 g/L

1) Biological Content (BOD)

As shown in Table 2, the biological content or BOD decreases in line with the increasing depth of sampling from temporary landfills. This shows that the biological contained in well water around landfills is quite high and decrases with increasing distance of the well from the landfill.

2) Physics content or Total Suspended Solid (TSS)

As shown in Table 2, the physical content decreases with increasing sampling depths of sampling from temporary landfills. This shows that the physical content contained in the well water around the landfill is quite high and decreases with increasing depths of the well from the landfill.

3) Chemical Content (COD)

As shown in Table 2, the chemical content decreases with increasing depths of sampling from temporary landfills, but for a distance of 15 m and 25 m the chemical content is the same. This shows that the chemical content contained in well water around landfills is quite high and decreases with increasing depths of the well from the landfill.

Quality of soil-water requires the integration of biological, physical, and chemical properties[26]. The chemical parameters consist of total organic carbon, total ni-trogen, C/N ratio, pH, and heavy metals. And the physical parameters consist of bulk density, lutum content/soil

type, and ground water level[27]. Biological oxygen Demand (BOD) is one of the parameters of soil-water quality, therefore the quality of soil water also shown by biological indicators such as invertebrate community abundance[26].

Total Suspended Solids (TSS) provide essential information for the assessment of water environmental quality[28,29]. In our research, we found that distances and dephts is in line with the TSS content. TSS contents also closely related to the presence of detrital matter and microorganisms[30,31]. In fact, TSS adversely affacts the aquatic ecosystems by blocking sunlight and subsequently reduce the photosynsthesis[32]. The suspended solids are also responsible as carrier of pollutants like phosphorous, mercury, heavy metals, hydrophobic organic compounds etc[32,33].

The chemical oxygen demand (COD) is an important parameter for the determination of the organic load in water[34]. Detection of COD in water and wastewater is crucial parameter for water quality control and environmental monitoring[35]. In our research, we found the opposite between distances and depths of the sample with content of COD. Test standards samples, a wide range of 20-9000 mg/l COD to detection limit at 7.5 mg/l[35]. Our research shown that the results is the standards range.

Based on the data shown above, the contamination of groundwater in the landfills of Cikurubuk market is categorized as a low contamination, so in other words the groundwater quality in that area is not that strongly polluted. However, this result should also become the basis information for the residents and the government of how the groundwater quality around landfills, besides the government nee to know how to manage and treat it well, so the groundwater can be maximized use by the resident near the landfill.

Conclusion

According to the results of the study, it can be concluded that all parameters observed, in terms of biological content, physical content, and chemical content in ground water as a whole are polluted by alkali water. Although this research was conducted in the dry season, groundwater is still contaminated with several substances that make water unfit for consumption.

References

1   Nagy, Á. & Kuti, R. The Environmental Impact of Plastic Waste Incineration. *Academic and Applied Research in Military and Public Management Science* **15**, 231-237 (2016). https://doi.org:10.32565/aarms.2016.3.3

2   Szuminska, D. *et al.* Sources and composition of chemical pollution in Maritime Antarctica (King George Island), part 2: Organic and inorganic chemicals in snow cover at the Warszawa Icefield. *Sci Total Environ* **796**, 149054 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149054

3   Schwab, G. O., Fangmeier, D. D. & Elliot, W. J. *Soil and water management systems*. (John Wiley & Sons Inc, 1996).

4   Park, S. & Hong, S. Assessing the effects of contracting out services on cost in managing municipal solid waste in South Korea. *Waste Manag* **136**, 76-82 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.wasman.2021.10.002

5   Zhang, Q., Khan, M. U., Lin, X., Yi, W. & Lei, H. Green-composites produced from waste residue in pulp and paper industry: A sustainable way to manage industrial wastes. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **262** (2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121251

6   Kebede, Y. S., Alene, M. M. & Endalemaw, N. T. Urban landfill investigation for managing the negative impact of solid waste on environment using geospatial technique.

A case study of Assosa town, Ethiopia. *Environmental Challenges* **4** (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.envc.2021.100103

7   Salvato, J. A., Nemerow, N. L. & Agardy, F. J. *Environmental engineering and sanitation*. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2003).

8   Jiang, J., Wang, Y., Yu, D. & Hou, R. in *Current Developments in Biotechnology and Bioengineering*   345-366 (2023).

9   Thomas, C. C., Nsonwu-Anyanwu, A. C., Usoro, C. A. O., Agoro, E. S. & Idenyi, A. N. Hepato-renal toxicities associated with heavy metal contamination of water sources among residents of an oil contaminated area in Nigeria. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* **212**, 111988 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.111988

10   Surinaidu, L., Nandan, M. J., Sahadevan, D. K., Umamaheswari, A. & Tiwari, V. M. Source identification and management of perennial contaminated groundwater seepage in the highly industrial watershed, south India. *Environ Pollut* **269**, 116165 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116165

11   Krishna Prasad, N. V., Jayakumar, R., Wagdevi, P. & Ramesh, S. in *Green Sustainable Process for Chemical and Environmental Engineering and Science*   21-30 (2021).

12   *Potential Impacts of Climate Change on U.S. Transportation*. (2008).

13   Lehr, J. H. & Keeley, J. *Water Encyclopedia*. (2005).

14   Ishaku, J. M. Assessment of groundwater quality index for Jimeta-Yola area, Northeastern Nigeria. *Journal of Geology and Mining Research* **3**, 219-231 (2011).

15   Chen, F. *et al.* The cotreatment of old landfill leachate and domestic sewage in rural areas by deep subsurface wastewater infiltration system (SWIS): Performance and bacterial community(☆).   *Environ   Pollut   **274**,   115800   (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115800

16   Akinbile, C. O. Environmental impact of landfill on groundwater quality and agricultural soils in Nigeria. *Soil and Water Research* **7**, 18-26 (2012). https://doi.org:10.17221/4/2011-swr

17   Sawyer, C. N., McCarty, P. L. & Parkin, G. F. *Chemistry for environmental engineering and science*. 5th edn, (McGraw-Hill, 2003).

18   Longe, E. & Balogun-Adeleye, R. M. Groundwater quality assessment near a municipal landfill, Lagos, Nigeria. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology* **2**, 39–44 (2010).

19   Ahamad, A., Raju, N. J., Madhav, S., Gossel, W. & Wycisk, P. Impact of non-engineered Bhalswa landfill on groundwater from Quaternary alluvium in Yamuna flood plain and potential human health risk, New Delhi, India. *Quaternary International* **507**, 352-369 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.quaint.2018.06.011

20   Slomczynski, T., Wasowski, J. & Slomczynska, B. Effect of advanced oxidation processes on the toxicity of municipal landfill leachates. *Water Science and Technology* **49**, 273-277 (2004). https://doi.org:10.2166/wst.2004.0282

21   Wilson, L. G. Sediment Removal from Flood Water by Grass Filtration. *Transactions of the ASAE* **10**, 0035-0037 (1967). https://doi.org:10.13031/2013.39587

22   Dai, L. *et al.* Purification of black alkali liquor by microchannel filtration to promote energy savings and consumption reduction in lye cleaning systems. *Science of The Total Environment* **774** (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145116

23   Jones-Lee, A. & Fred Lee, G. Water Quality Impacts of Stormwater-Associated Contaminants: Focus on Real Problems. *Water Science and Technology* **28**, 231-240 (1993). https://doi.org:10.2166/wst.1993.0425

24   Longe, E. O. & Enekwechi, L. O. Investigation on potential groundwater impacts and influence of local hydrogeology on natural attenuation of leachate at a municipal landfill.

International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology **4**, 133-140 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1007/bf03325971

25   Darby, J. L., Snider, K. E. & Tchobanoglous, G. Ultraviolet disinfection for wastewater reclamation and reuse subject to restrictive standards. *Water Environment Research* **65**, 169-180 (1993). https://doi.org:10.2175/wer.65.2.10

26   Lupardus, R. C., Battigelli, J. P., Janz, A. & Lumley, L. M. Can soil invertebrates indicate soil biological quality on well pads reclaimed back to cultivated lands? *Soil and Tillage Research* **213** (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.still.2021.105082

27   Breure, A. M., Mulder, C., Rombke, J. & Ruf, A. Ecological classification and assessment concepts in soil protection. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* **62**, 211-229 (2005). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2005.03.025

28   Dorji, P., Fearns, P. & Broomhall, M. A Semi-Analytic Model for Estimating Total Suspended Sediment Concentration in Turbid Coastal Waters of Northern Western Australia Using MODIS-Aqua 250 m Data. *Remote Sensing* **8** (2016). https://doi.org:10.3390/rs8070556

29   Masocha, M., Murwira, A., Magadza, C. H. D., Hirji, R. & Dube, T. Remote sensing of surface water quality in relation to catchment condition in Zimbabwe. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C* **100**, 13-18 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.pce.2017.02.013

30   Chen, S. *et al.* Carbon cloth stimulates direct interspecies electron transfer in syntrophic co-cultures. *Bioresource Technology* **173**, 82-86 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.009

31   Gonzalez-Hidalgo, J. C., Batalla, R. J. & Cerda, A. Catchment size and contribution of the largest daily events to suspended sediment load on a continental scale. *Catena* **102**, 40-45 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.catena.2010.10.011

32   Patel, N., Ruparelia, J. & Barve, J. Prediction of total suspended solids present in effluent of primary clarifier of industrial common effluent treatment plant: Mechanistic and fuzzy approach. *Journal of Water Process Engineering* **34** (2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101146

33   Bilotta, G. S. & Brazier, R. E. Understanding the influence of suspended solids on water quality and aquatic biota. *Water Res* **42**, 2849-2861 (2008). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.watres.2008.03.018

34   Kolb, M., Bahadir, M. & Teichgraber, B. Determination of chemical oxygen demand (COD) using an alternative wet chemical method free of mercury and dichromate. *Water Res* **122**, 645-654 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.watres.2017.06.034

35   Bogdanowicz, R. *et al.* Determination of Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) at Boron-doped Diamond (BDD) Sensor by Means of Amperometric Technique. *Procedia Engineering* **47**, 1117-1120 (2012). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.proeng.2012.09.347

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study's conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by [**Endang Surahman**], [**Mufti Ali**] and [**Vita Meylani**]. The first draft of the manuscript was written by [**Endang Surahman**] and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript